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Background

In the UK cycling accounts for a small proportion of mobility across the age spectrum and is particularly low for those over fifty. As both active mobility and recreation, cycling can promote wellbeing through exercise, social contact and connection to community. An ageing population provides the necessity to design and manage urban spaces in ways that support independent and active mobility amongst older citizens. This includes mobility using bicycles.

Cycle Boom is a multi-disciplinary project studying the practices, experiences and meanings of cycling for older adults to understand how to support maintenance or reconnection with cycling in later life. Detailed research has been conducted with 18 older cycle users in the Bristol area involving a biographical interview, a videorecording and a post ride video-elicitation interview. These bring to light some of the challenges and opportunities older cycle users encounter for cycling in urban areas.

Findings

Adapting with age

Accumulated knowledge and skills but increasing caution and sense of vulnerability. Changes in strength, fitness, flexibility, balance or acuity of senses can undermine confidence and comfort- prompting adaptations e.g. wing mirror on handlebars, or re-connection of cycling activity.

Discomfort looking over shoulder, complicated by vision changes, can undermine confidence to cycle with traffic. Adapting by completely stopping to turn upper body or dismounting and walking the bike.

More flexibility but also contraction of cycling

Retirement and reduced working afford more discretion about when and where to cycle. Cycling becomes a means for local trips as well as building routine for active retirement. Route selection and scheduling to avoid times and places when grip felt less sure (e.g. fallen leaves, surface water, ice), visibility poor or peak travel periods. This can re-define domain for cycling.

Cycling in the urban centre

Bristol’s compact, historical inner core offers short, direct routes into and across the city. Some principally followed main arterial routes, others had intricate routeways that link main and quieter roads, parks and interstitial spaces, bypassed major junctions and steep topography. High intensity and unpredictable movement of pedestrians and other bicycles provoked unease. More experienced cycle users enjoyed the challenge of busy sections…

...urban fringe

Quieter residential streets together with segregated cycle ways parallel to distributor roads enabled some to forge routes with limited exposure to traffic. Some borders to cycle paths were unwinding.

Orbital journeys around fringe for employment or social activities, leisure rides into hinterland and exercise routes confined to the bounds of the locality. Venturing into the city often seen as too far or intimidating.

Storage and setting off

Storage and access influences the ease of coming and going.

Quality of routes

Uneven, deteriorated and slippery surfaces disconcerting and cause discomfort. Mixing with faster traffic provoked trepidation - the uncertainty of finding a gap/maneouvuring earlier or later to avoid sense of impeding traffic.

Transgressions

Occasional transgressions into space not permitted for cycling, either to avoid tackling higher speed corridors and going beyond what felt comfortable and safe.

Recommendations

Bicycle design: bike trials, hire, purchase, trade-in schemes allowing older cycle users to access lighter, more ergonomic and power-assisted cycles

Cycle training and orientation to routes within and beyond locality.

Residential streetscapes: on-street cycle storage, management of parking and refuse, speed reduction.

Surfaceing: durable with good grip

Narrow geometry of roundabouts in outer urban neighbourhoods to reduce traffic speeds. Junctions: Protected space and time for cycle users. Limit need to manoeuvre into traffic flows.

Conclusion

Although rates of cycling are low, there is appetite and ability to cycle within cities amongst the older age group. This empirical work suggests that comfort and confidence for cycling in urban settings can be diminished by age-related bodily changes, that older cycle users may be more sensitive to the immediacy of motorised traffic, uneven surfaces and surface grip and topography and may adjust the timing and scope of their cycling to avoid exposure to these. The bicycle itself influences the experience of cycling in urban environments; therefore facilitating access to lighter, ergonomic, as well as power-assisted cycles may extend cycling careers.

The propensity for individuals to curtail their cycling activity and ambitions as they grow older could be viewed as a failure of the design and management of urban spaces rather than an inevitable, natural aspect of ageing. Older cyclists’ confidence to cycle whenever and wherever they would like in cities should be supported by establishing and managing routes which avoid the need to mix with motorised traffic flows and reduce the need to negotiate tight spaces.
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